

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,847	06/28/2006	Bertus Noordam	2917824-002000	3475
84331 7590 01/31/2011 Baker Donelson Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC			EXAMINER	
920 Massachusetts Ave, NW			KING, FELICIA C	
Suite 900 Washington, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1789	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/584,847	NOORDAM ET AL.
	Art Unit
Jennifer C. McNeil	1784

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Requ	est for Review filed 21 December 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is i reason(s): 	mproper and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been f ☐ The request does not include reasor ☐ A proposed amendment is included ☐ Other: 	
The time period for filing a response continu- the mail date of the last Office communication	es to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from on, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal is required to submit an appeal brief in according will be reset to be one month from mail running from the receipt of the notice of appears.	and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rdance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period eal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 36 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the statuction Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	e has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of e merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is re-	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office quired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Jennifer C. McNeil.	(3) <i>Felicia King</i> .
(2) Keith Hendricks.	(4)
/Jennifer C McNeil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784	